(1)

No. 06-754

FILED
MAR 12 2007
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

IN RE: EILEEN VEY

MANDAMUS/PROHIBITION
(Expedition Requested)

PETITION FOR REHEARING

EILEEN VEY
804 CEDAR AVENUE
PITTSBURGH PA 15212
(412) 322-3131

QUESTION PRESENTED

I.

WHETHER THIS COURT SHOULD ORDER PA STATE, **MARBURGER**, TO CLEAR PETITIONER, EILEEN VEY, OF ALL CHARGES, WHILE STILL ASSERTING INNOCENTS AFTER JUDICIAL PROCESS IS EXHAUSTED, **HERRERA**, 506 US 413-17.

In The
United States Supreme Court
America

In Re: EILEEN VEY

Petition For Rehearing
(expedition requested)

The petitioner, Eileen Vey, requests a rehearing.

JURISDICTION

Jurisdiction is invoked under Rule 44 of the U.S. SUPREME COURT.

NEW MATTERS OF CONCERN

In this 1984 Pennsylvania state case:

1) in which the petitioner was tried for 1st degree homicide and a gun charge by a judge (no jury), who found her guilty of 3rd degree homicide and carrying a firearm without a license;

2) in which the defense lawyer asserted the petitioner was not guilty by reason of insanity, because the psychiatrist diagnosed her * at the time of 1984 as suffering schizo-effective disorder, latter found as a misdiagnosis, and the "Battered Wife Syndrome," thereby meeting the McNaughten requirements of not knowing right from wrong nor the nature and quality of any act;

3) in which petitioner has served the sentence of 7 years in prison and 11 years on parole with no problems and had no need of any kind of counseling, due to other psychiatrists' diagnosis refuting the former during a latter particular time frame. Although the latter psychiatrists did all agree on one thing: that the petitioner was suffering the "Battered Wife Syndrome" at the point in time of 1984. In substantiation of this, the prison system gave petitioner, Eileen Vey, the Law Library to organize for them, just as U.S. Senator McCain, who is now mentally capable in his work after previously suffering mentally from PTSD. U.S. Senator Specter had cancer, it is understood that now he does not. Whether we were healed by time, medicine, or an act of God, who knows?

Be that as it may, it has come to the attention of the petitioner that some people are falsely claiming to have mind reading abilities and is deliberately misinterpreting an unelaborated statement of petitioner in petition.

When the statement was made that had the police arrested petitioner's husband, when they had a chance, there would never had been a bridge case, that was not a confession to the bridge case, but meant that husband would have been in jail all that time and many things would not have occurred, including her having to fend for herself all that time without police protection prior to bridge, since her husband stated to petitioner that he would be in jail the rest of his life, if he went to jail for his crimes. Whether it was gang of men on bridge, ambush, whatever, petitioner does not remember, but only vaguely remembers what she was told she was suppose to have done after being arrested uptown near a hotel with memory problems some distant from the alleged crime scene. (trauma, stroke, ? cause of memory problem, no M.D. was summoned)

CONCLUSION

Due to reasonable doubt that the petitioner was responsible for the bridge case, she respectfully requests that this Court order state to clear her of all charges in it, that is case # CC198406363 and 19846555.

Respectfully submitted,

Eileen Vey, pro se 7-10-07

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH

The petitioner, Eileen Vey, hereby certifies that the presentation of this petition for rehearing is limited to new matters only and matters not clarified in the original petition and not intended for delay.

Sincerely,

Eileen Vey, Pro Se